Mixed-method research: synergy of CAQDA and statistical software. Example from study on institutional surrounding of schools in Poland.

> Kamil Sijko (k.sijko@ibe.edu.pl) Polish Educational Research Institute, Warsaw, Poland

Abstract

Mixed methods research or design (also known as multimethodology, compatibility thesis or pragmatist paradigm) is an increasingly popular approach to research design that combines qualitative and quantitative methods in one research project. In summer of 2011 the Educational Research Institute performed a qualitative study on institutional surrounding of schools in Poland (105 IDI + 24 FGI) in 3 different settings (kindergarten, elementary school and gymnasium) and 8 different counties. Results were transcribed and coded using QDA software. On a later stage of analysis it became clear that a part of the codes that was assigned to different institutions cooperating with the school is suitable for quantitative analysis. It enabled researchers to deploy chi-squared test, V-Cramer's tests, and even correspondence analysis. Results of quantitative analyses led to non-obvious observations, that most probably would go unnoticed otherwise.

Method

In summer of 2011 the Educational Research Institute performed a qualitative study on institutional surrounding of schools in Poland (Hernik, Solon-Lipiński, & Stasiowski, 2012). Study consisted of 105 in-depth, semistructured interviews and 24 semi-structured focused group interviews. Interviews were equally split among 3 different setting types (kindergarten, elementary school and gymnasium) and 8 different counties, which were picked to be representative to all Polish counties. Researchers have used a triangulation of sources to achieve more reliable data – first step was always to intervew principals, which helped to pick further respondents: teachers, commune officials and representatives of institutions which cooperate with school. Material has been coded using grounded theory as a framework (eg. Glasser & Strauss, 2009).

Introduction

Quantitative and qualitative methods are often opposed to each other. Many universities split those two research designs into separate courses. Some academics used to believe, that quantitative and qualitative methods are like fire and ice – cannot be mixed. Mixed methods research or design (also known as multimethodology, compatibility thesis or pragmatist paradigm) is an increasingly popular approach to research design that is opposed to this belief and encourages researchers to combine qualitative and quantitative methods within one research project (Howe, 1988; Creswell & Clark, 2007). This poster is an example of mixedmethod approach using CAQDA software and statistical software.

At a later stage of analysis it became apparent that it would be valuable to analyse frequencies of codes that were assigned to different institutions that cooperate with school. Researchers were able to create 16 mutually exclusive categories of those institutions among 131 investigated interviews.

To achieve interpretable results each case's name was in fact a combination of informations about location and setting type (eg. LOC1/elementary). Code frequencies were then exported along with this information from CAQDA to external statistical software.

Results

- Analysis in external software enabled three kinds of analyses:
- chi-square test of independence
- V-Cramer's tests of assosciation
- Correspondence analysis

HUMAN CAPITAL

NATIONAL COHESION STRATEGY

First analysis has shown, that the number of institutions that school cooperates with isn't associated with setting type: $\chi^2(2, N=773)=5,48$;p=n.s., but there is a significant relationship between institution code and setting type: $\chi^2(30, N=773)=48,04$;p<0,05 (Cramer's V = 0,18, p<0,05), which means, that it's not the quantity of institutions that differ between setting, but their quality. Analysis of residuals have shown, that this

		setting type			Si	Size of locality				parent's emphasis		Principal's emphasis		
Institution		kindergarten	elementary	gymnasium	village	small city	medium city	large city	no	yes	no	yes	sum	
other	N res.	10 -1,2	22 1,8	14 -0,7	13 0,9	15 0,5	10 0,1	8 -1,4	38 0,7	8 -0,7	42 1,7	4 -1,7	4	
schools	N res.	32 2,6	24 -0,7	20 -1,7	19 0,4	19 -0,9	15 -0,4	23 0,9	57 -0,8	19 0,8	51 -3,5	25 3,5	7	
SGU	N res.	13 -0,3	17 0,1	17 0,1	15 1,5	10 -1,3	9 -0,4	13 0,3	37 0	10 0	46 2,9	1 -2,9	4	
Church	N res.	9 0,6	9 -0,1	8 -0,5	6 0	11 1,4	4 -0,8	5 -0,8	13 -3,6	13 3,6	17 -2,2	9 2,2	2	
culture	N res.	16 -0,3	21 0,1	21 0,1	7 -2,1	17 -0,1	4 -2,8	30 4,6	44 -0,5	14 0,5	52 1,6	6 -1,6	5	
public- cultural	N res.	15 0,3	20 1	13 -1,2	11 0	17 0,9	10 -0,1	10 -0,8	-3,2	19 3,2	26 -5,1	22 5,1	4	
forests	N res.	5 0,3	5 -0,2	-0,2	7 2,2	5 0,3	2 -0,8	1 -1,7	8 -2,4	, 7 2,4	13 0,5	2 -0,5	1	
NGO	N res.	23 -2,9	42 -0,4	60 3,2	11 -4,1	46 1,9	38 2,7	30 -0,6	104 1,4	21 -1,4	98 -1,1	27 1,1	12	
welfare	N res.	8 -1,8	22 1,8	16 -0,1	11 0,1	14 0,1	, 14 1,6	7 -1,7	43 2,5	, 3 -2,5	, 39 0,5	, 7 -0,5	4	
edusys	N res.	12 -0,6	-0,5	20 1,1	9 -0,7	12 -0,6	10 0	16 1,3	46 3,3	-3,3	46 2,9	1 -2,9	4	
police	N res.	11 0	11 -0,7	15 0,7	6 -1	11 0	9 0,5	11 0,5	29 0	8 0	-0,1	2,1 7 0,1	3	
enterprises	Ν	41 2,3	38 0,1	27 -2,3	39 3,6	22 -2,2	20 -0,7	25 -0,6	81 -0,6	25 0,6	98	8	10	
sport	N res.	, 5 -1,5	, 8 -0,9	16 2,3	3 -1,7	, 14 2,2	, 8 0,8	, 4 -1,5	, 25 1	, 4 -1	24 0,1	5 -0,1	2	
fire	N res.	, 11 1,6	9	5 -1,6	, 9 1,6	, 6 -0,6	, 5 -0,2	, 5 -0,7	16 -1,8	9 1,8	, 17 -1,8	, 8 1,8	2	
universities	Ν	6 0,4	3-1,7	9 1,3	-1,2	4 -0,7	3 -0,5	9 2,3	16 1,1	-1,1	14 -0,5	4	1	
healthcare	N res.	10 1,3	-0,6	-0,6	10	6 -0,5	-0,6	2,3 4 -1,1	21 1,1	-1,1	20 0,2	-0,2	2	
	sum	227	273	273	178	229	165	201	607	166	633	140	77	

relationship is chiefly caused by different frequency of cooperation between schools and schools, NGOs, enterprises and sport institutions.

Corresponding analyses performed between code and location have shown both quantitative $\chi^2(3, N=773)=12,26$;p<0,01 and qualitative $\chi^2(21, N=773)=2319$;p<0,001 differences – cooperation was more diversified among settings from small cities, especially compared to middle-sized cities. Schools from bigger towns more frequently cooperated with universities and cultural institutions, especially compared to schools in villages. Those latter instead cooperated more frequently with national forests and less frequently with NGOs. Small cities were characterized by frequent cooperation with NGOs and sport institutions.

It also turned out, that there is a significant association between type of institution and respondent's emphasis on describing the nature of cooperation. Principals $\chi^2(15, N=773)=75,16$;p<0,001 frequently focused on their relationships with schools, church and public cultural institutions, parents were also more keen $\chi^2(15, N=773)=53,65$;p<0,001 on National Forests.

Finally, a correspondence analysis has been applied to visualize those relationships.



References

- Creswell, J. W., & Plano, C. V. L. (2007). Designing and conducting mixed methods research. Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE Publications.
- Hernik, K., Solon-Lipiński, M., & Stasiowski, J. (2012). Współpraca szkół z podmiotami zewnętrznymi. Warszawa: Instytut Badań Edukacyjnych. goo.gl/k88BsX (full version of report (in Polish) in PDF, ePub and mobi)
- Howe, K. R. (1988). Against the quantitative-qualitative incompatibility thesis or dogmas die hard. Educational researcher, 17(8), 10-16.
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (2009). The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research. Transaction Publishers.





The project is co-financed by the European Union under the European Social Fund